

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/028,851	12/21/2001	Jason Meyer	76867/16445 3325 EXAMINER		
23380 7	7590 12/31/2003				
TUCKER, ELLIS & WEST LLP 1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING			ONEILL, MICHAEL W		
925 EUCLID AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND	OH 44115-1475		3713		
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003	·	
				7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)				
			28,851	MEYER, JASON				
Office Action Summary		Exam		Art Unit	<u> </u>			
			ael O'Neill	3713				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	i		correspondence ad	ldress			
Period fo	• •							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. 0) days, a reply within th atutory period will apply will. by statute, cause th	no event, however, may a reply be se statutory minimum of thirty (30) d and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO!	timely filed  ays will be considered time on the mailing date of this of NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ∞mmunication.			
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>21 Decemb</u>	<u>er 2001</u> .					
•	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
•	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the transfer of the drawing(s) filed on is/are applicant may not request that any objected that any objected the oath or declaration is objected the specific or the specific or declaration is objected to the specific or the specific or declaration is objected to the specific or declaration is objected to the specific or declaration is objected to by the specific or declaration is objected to be specification in the specific or declaration is objected to be specification in the specific or declaration is objected to by the specific or declaration is objected to be specification in the specific or declaration is objected to be specification in the specific or declaration is objected to be specification in the specific or declaration is objected to be specification in the specific or declaration is objected to be specification in the specific or declaration is objected to be specification in the specific or declaration is objected to be specification in the specific or declaration is objected to be specification in the specific or declaration is objected to be specification.	: a) ☐ accepted ection to the drawing the correction is r	g(s) be held in abeyance. Sequired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a) 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clain  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was included TOFR 1.78.  A) The translation of the foreign lay Acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first ser	documents have documents have of the priority do onal Bureau (PC) on for a list of the for domestic priored in the first sent for domestic priority for domestic priority for domestic priority domestic priority domestic priority domestic priority domestic priority documents and documents are documents and documents are documents and documents are docu	e been received. been received in Application Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not received under 35 U.S.C. § 11 tence of the specification al application has been reity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	ation No ived in this National ived. 9(e) (to a provisional or in an Application ecceived. 20 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific			
Attachmer			🗖		- (-)			
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			ary (PTO-413) Paper No al Patent Application (PT				

Art Unit: 3713

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bennett USPN 6,419,579

(which appears to be the "child patent" from the parent AU

specification submitted in the IDS of 12-21-01 which had no

publication date for the document).

Bennett discloses a slot machine having a wildcard which value randomly ranges from 1 to 6 and the value of the wildcard multiples the value of the payline, i.e. if the value of the wildcard is 3 then the "winnings" equals 3 times the payline value.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere* Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for

Art Unit: 3713

establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3, 4, 6-9, 12, 13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bennett.

Re. claims 3, 6 and 12, 15: It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have more than one wildcard symbol, in order to offer larger and/or more frequent payouts to customers. The appearance of receiving a larger sum or more frequent sums of monies entices people to play gaming machines.

Re. claims 4 and 13: It is obvious to one skilled in the art to selective place the wildcard symbols at adjacent position in the array in order to graphically morph the symbols into one larger symbol in order to provide an additional enticement to the player upon winning at the game of chance.

Re. claims 7 and 16: As previously mentioned the multiples in Bennett range from 1 to 6.

Re. claims 8, 9 and 17, 18: It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to providing a summation of all

Application/Control Number: 10/028,851 Page 4

Art Unit: 3713

wildcards to achieve the multiplier value which will be used to determine the final winning amount from the payline win.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael O'Neill whose telephone number is 703-308-3484. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa J. Walberg can be reached on 703-308-1327. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5648.

MON

MICHAEL O'NEILL PRIMARY EXAMINER

MUCNY